



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,366	11/01/2001	Roger T. Baird	10012886-1	7303

7590

03/10/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

RAYYAN, SUSAN F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2177

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sk

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/004,366

Applicant(s)

BAIRD, ROGER T.

Examiner

Susan F. Rayyan

Art Unit

2177

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 are pending.
2. Information Disclosure Statement filed on November 1, 2001 has been considered (paper# 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. **Claims 5-6, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.**

As per claims 5-6,12-13 recites the limitation "the stored indicators" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 1, 4-14, 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmel et al. (US 6,324,566) and Burke (US 6,032,162).**

As per independent claims 1,14,24-25 Himmel teaches a processor coupled to a local interface at fig.1, a memory coupled to a local interface at fig. 1, downloading to a computer system a page associated with a bookmark at col.6, lines 42-44,60-63 and fig. 3, Ref. No. 305, determining non-local links within the page at col. 6, lines 64-67 (Himmel teaches a user selecting a booklist matching user desired category, downloading the set of bookmarks associated with the selected bookmark list from a location remote from the user and activating the bookmark set to make available to the user the included URLs.)

Himmel does not explicitly teach displaying, on the computer system, indicators associated with the non-local links however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

As per claims 4,17 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches wherein the downloading and the determining are actuated by the selection action at col. 6, lines 60-67.

As per claim 5,18 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the indicators are stored on the computer system ... at col. 10, lines 6-8.

As per claim 6,19 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the selecting action actuates updating of the stored indicators by periodically performing the downloading and the determining col.10, lines 12-14.

As per claims 7 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the selecting action includes hovering over the entry at col. 6, lines 61-63 (Himmel teaches a selection of an bookmark set which would include hovering over the set of choice before selection).

As per claims 8,20 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the determining includes parsing source code of the page at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set (sets of URLs) and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 9, 21 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the parsing includes examining the source code for anchor tags at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 10, 22 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches:

wherein the parsing includes examining the tags to determine whether links associated with the anchor tags are local links at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user.

As per claims 11,23 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the determining includes extracting text from the anchor tags for the bookmark indicators at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39. (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user. The example describes providing a user access to the bookmark set on cancer and access to the bookmarks contained within the set. The bookmarks are linked to the web pages containing articles on the topic of cancer. These bookmarks would have text (bookmark indicators) describing the each article.)

As per claims 12 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the indicators are stored on the computer system, and the displaying includes displaying the stored indicators at col. 10, lines 6-8.

As per claim 13 same as claim arguments above and Himmel teaches: wherein the stored indicators are updated by periodically performing the downloading and the determining at col. 6, lines 10, lines 12-14.

7. Claims 2-3,15-16,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmel et al. (US 6,324,566) and Burke (US 6,032,162) in view of Sanford et al (US 6,256,028).

As per claims 2, 15 same as claim arguments above and Burke does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes displaying the indicators next to an entry on a bookmark list associated with the page however Sanford does teach this limitation at fig. 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the cited reference to improve user friendly access to web pages at col. 2, lines 65.

As per claims 3,16 same as claim arguments above and Himmel does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes selectively displaying the indicators in response to the selecting action by the user however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24, fig. 5. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

As per claim 26 Himmel teaches downloading to a computer system a page associated with a bookmark at col.6, lines 42-44,60-63 and fig. 3, Ref. No. 305, determining non-local links within the page at col. 6, lines 64-67, parsing source code of the page, wherein the parsing includes examining the source code for anchor tags col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39, wherein the parsing includes examining the tags to determine whether links associated with the anchor tags are local links at col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 10, lines 15-20, 31-39, extracting text from the anchor tags for indicators associated with the non-local links at col. 6, lines 65-67 (Himmel teaches downloading a bookmark set and making these bookmarks available to the user).

Himmel does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes selectively displaying the indicators in response to the selecting action by the user however Burke does teach this limitation at col. 7, lines 10-24, fig. 5. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to combine the cited references for the purpose of viewing and selecting a bookmark within the list col.7, 18-19.

Burke does not explicitly teach wherein the displaying includes displaying the indicators next to an entry on a bookmark list associated with the page however Sanford does teach this

Art Unit: 2177

limitation at fig. 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the cited reference to improve user friendly access to web pages at col. 2, lines 65.

Conclusion


8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Rayyan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0311. The examiner can normally be reached M-F: 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for Official communications, (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications and (703) 746-7240 for Status inquires and draft communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Susan Rayyan


March 5, 2004


GRETA ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER